# FLEMING COUNTY SPECIAL CREW FACILITY LOT ENVIRONMENTAL SITE CHARACTERIZATION KYTC# 370, AI#



Prepared for:

KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS 200 MERO STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40602 Prepared by:

Lee Engineering, LLC Environment & Infrastructure

100 North Main Street Lawrenceburg, KY 40342 (502) 859-0190 ♦ (502) 859-3000 fax www.LeeEnvironmental.com

August 15, 2008

## **Table of Contents**

. 1
2
. 2
. 2
. 2
3
. 3
. 3

FIGURES

FIGURE 1. – SITE LOCATION ON TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

FIGURE 2. – SITE LOCATION ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH WITH SAMPLING RESULTS

TABLES

TABLE 1. - SUMMARY OF ORGANIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS

TABLE 2. - SUMMARY OF INORGANIC ANALYTICAL RESULTS

TABLE 3. – BORING RECORD

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – PHOTOGRAPHIC JOURNAL

APPENDIX B – ANALYTICAL RESULTS

APPENDIX C – BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION

### SECTION I. BACKGROUND

On July 31, 2003, H.C. Nutting Company completed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for the KYTC (Kentucky Transportation Cabinet) Fleming County Special Crew Facility Lot in Flemingsburg, Kentucky. Several recognized environmental conditions (RECs) were identified in the Phase I as follows:

- The potential for petroleum contamination from a former tack oil tank located on the property; and
- The potential for contamination associated with sandblasting practices on the property.

On April 22, 2008, the work as set-forth in the workplan was performed. A topographic map and an aerial photograph of the site can be found in Figure 1. and Figure 2. of this report. The workplan is included in Appendix C for easy reference.

#### SECTION II. SITE METHODS

Nine soil samples were collected during the sampling event on April 22, 2008. The soil samples were collected using a geoprobe. The Site Map included in Figure 2. of this report shows the location and result of all samples collected. Depending upon the location of sample collection, the samples were analyzed for polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as diesel range organics (TPH DRO) and RCRA Metals. All samples were collected according to the procedures set forth in sections 10.2.1, 11.2.1, 12.3 and 12.4 the U.S. EPA Environmental Investigations Standard Operation Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual [November 2001]. The samples were analyzed using the following methods:

Analyte	EPA Method
RCRA Metals	US EPA Method 6010B
РАН	US EPA Method 8310
TPH	US EPA Method 8015B

## SECTION III. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The results of all samples collected are included in summary format in Tables 1 and 2 of this report. Complete analytical results, as provided by CT Laboratories, are included in Appendix B. A Sampling Map showing the sampling location for each sample is included in Figure 2.

#### Solid Sample Results

Four soil borings taken in the former tack oil tank location (B1F-B4F) exceeded the Region 9 PRG industrial limits for PAHs, as well as the limits for TPH. One boring in this area (B5F) only exceeded residential limits.

All soil borings in the area of visible staining by a newer concrete pad (B6F and B7F) were below regulatory levels.

One soil sample (B9F) collected in the former sandblast area exceeded Region 9 PRG industrial limit for arsenic.

Though the results of other samples were above the detection limits, no other results exceeded regulatory limits, residential or industrial.

## SECTION IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **PAH/TPH Contaminated Soils**

PAHs found in the soils are believed to originate with tack oil that was used in the past in and around the location of the former tack oil tank. Soil borings collected show that tack oil staining occurs at approximately 2-3 feet. Soils below the tack oil contamination appear to be very tight clays with PAHs/TPH below regulatory levels. It is recommended that the tack oil be removed to clean soils on a visual basis during cooler weather when the material is in a less fluid state. This should eliminate or dramatically reduce the incidence of PAHs in the soils.

#### Arsenic in Soils

Arsenic in the soil is believed to be the result of natural processes. Nonetheless, as excavation will be necessary to remove the tack oil contaminated soils, the removal of the soils in the area of B9F to a depth of approximately 1.5 feet is recommended when the other area is remediated. This should eliminate elevated arsenic levels in that area.



## **FIGURES**







## TABLES

			<sup>+</sup> HqT	Γ	ppm	8	50	0.3	10	900	000	10						Í	2	- 16.60											
			m & p-Xylene	30-20-7	lg/kg	-	<u>ія</u> 0	8	75	3	2	1		_			_		ning, Ll	Nfrasmuer	KY 40341										
			byrene	9-00-0 13:	ig/kg n	00 27	000 42		7					2					Enginee	Unnear & 1	vrenceburg.										
			Methylene Chloride	-09-2 12	g/kg m	23	29	2.1	0.7	24	_			0.1					Lee	Eweir	Lav										
			Phenanthrene	75	ig/kg m	700 9.	9000 21		28		8	061		35																	
					n-Propylbenzene	3-65-1	ng/kg m	40 37	40 25	5	0.1	77	2.4	0.0		0.:															
			sec-Butylbenzene	15-9-88 1C	ng/kg n	0	0							_																	
			n-Butylbenzene	4-51-8 13	ig/kg r	0	0																								
					Maphthalene	-20-3 10	g/kg m	24	0 24							26															
				prisopropyltoluene	91	g/kg m	56	00 19	2.0		26	_			0.2																
		-	anatyq(bo-c,2,1)onabni	39-5	/kg m	570	200				_			_																	
			oaoana(bo 5 6 f)oaobal	-46-1193-	kg mg	.62	0 2.1	0.38	1.1	8.9																					
			Fluorene	1-0 5934	tg mg/	3700	3700	1.4		39				0.30																	
<b>IES</b> <sup>1</sup>			Fluoranthene	206-44	g mg/k	2300	22000	6.2	0.70	51	5.4	0.050		.21																	
SAMI			II nsilusobnA	0	g mg/k	370	3700	_																							
SOLID			Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	53-70-	mg/k	.062	.021																								
ULTS- CILITY	lytes	Analytes	Chrysene	<ul> <li>Chrysene</li> <li>Chrysene</li> <li>Chrysene</li> <li>S</li> <li>S&lt;</li></ul>	62	210	0.91	0.61																							
CAL RES Rew Fa	11# Ans		Anal	Ana	Ana	AIIA	PIIV	PIIV	AIIA	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-09	mg/kg	6.2	21		0.28	5.2														
ALYTIC SIAL C RG, KE	370, 4									Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	2300	29000	0.35	1.1	6.6														
V SPEC V SPEC	VIC#																Benzo(b)fluoranthene	05-99-2	mg/kg	32		.33	1	9.							
ORGA) Sount	×					Benzo(a)pyrene	B 20 B 20 B 20 B 20 B 20 B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B		562 .6	21	.38 0	.0 1	0 8				.037					In the ev		bold prin							
RY OF MING (						Benzo(a)anthracene	56-55-3	mg/kg		2	9.9	1.39	5 1				0.16 C					ber 2004.		alicized in							
J MMA FLEI				Beta-BHC	u 00 Beta-BHC	mg/kg 1	1.3												ible, Octo		ards are it										
E1.SU						АІрһа-Сһіогдале		mg/kg	1.6	6.5												RGs) Ta		ial stand:							
TABU			Аlpha-BHC		mg/kg	60.	.36												Goals (P		resident										
			Anthracene	120-12-7	mg/kg	22000	100000	0.56	0.087		0.58								ediation (		exceeding										
			ninblA	309-00-2	mg/kg	029	10												inary Ren		tt. Those										
				Acenaphthene		ng/kg	. 002	. 0006												1 9 Prelin	ed.	d red prin									
			2-Methylnaphthalene	ſ	mg/kg 1	56 3	190 2	ľ			3.4								's Regior	tte was us	ed in bol										
			1-Methylnapthalene		mg/kg	9	06	<i>®</i> ;			6.			.64					on Agency	ed surroga	w and not										
			9n9zn9dlyd19mirT-8,8,1	18-67-8	ng/kg	ى س	-	4			3			0		$\left  \right $			4 Protectic	commende	d in yello										
			ənəznədlydtəmirT-4,2,1	5-63-6 10	1g/kg r	21	70 70									$\vdash$	-	d mir -	ndix b. ironmenta	sgion 4 rec	highlighte										
				4-b-9nsdt9orochane-d4	7-06-2 95	ng/kg n	5	1	ŀ								$\left  \right $	-	The Assess	d in Appe n the Env.	u EPA Re	limits are									
		]	sbilo2 %	10	N/A n	28	99.	30.3	30.0	30.6	79.2	75.8	30.5	74.4	31.8	31.2	Η	- duda	incluae	ulable, a	gulatory										
				No.	IIT I	sidential	ustrial limit -	B1F 6	B2F 8	B3F 8	B4F 7	B5F 7	B6F E	B7F 7	BBF	B9F 5		and some for the factor	alytical results are nits are those pub	nalyte was not av <sub>2</sub>	ding industrial re <sub>t</sub>										
			Cost -	CAS	UN	Res limi	Limit: Fi												mpiete an. ulatory lin	For an at	ults excee										
			COM MOS			atory	Regul			Ū	I E	ľĪ	Ц	٨	/S				1 Coi 2 Reg	PRC	3 Res										

																					Lee Engineering, LLC Invitationant, & Infractoreture Invitation for Mailan Street Lawrenceburg, KY, 40342 (50) 859 0190 + (50) 859 3000 fax Blueengighedirenth.net
S																					the event that a old print.
LYTICAL RESULT Acility lot Ky (tes	Hq		/kg S.U.																		Table, October 2004. In aits are italicized and in b
ANIC ANA Al Crew F G, Kentuc 10 , Al # Analy	Mercury	7487-94-7	mg/kg mg	23 5.0	310 5.0	0.078	0.032														n Goals (PRGs) ing residential lin
DF INORG IY SPECI NGSBUR YTC #37	Silver	2 7440-22-4	mg/kg	390	5100	66.1	25.3														ry Remediatic Fhose exceed
AMARY ( G Count Flemii K	muinələ2	1 7782-49-2	mg/kg	390	5100																1 1 9 Prelimina: sed. d red print. 7
E 2. SUN Fleming	Lead <sup>2</sup>	7439-92-	g/kg	400	750	28.6	13.5														nncy's Region ogate was us noted in bole
TABL	Chromium	6	mg/kg	210	450	17.7	22.8														g. .ection Age .ended surr .ellow and 1
	muimbsO	7440-43-	mg/kg	37	450	2.0	3.0														B. B. 150 mg/k mental Proi 1 4 recomm
	muinsB	7440-39-3	mg/kg	5400	67000	84.8	160														1 Appendix ntial goal) ii 1e Environi 3PA Regior iits are high
	Arsenic	7440-38-2	mg/kg	.39	1.6		5.4														e included it Lead (reside: ublished in th vailable, an F sgulatory lin
	UUNT	CAS No.	UNIT	Residential limit	Industrial limit	B8F	B9F														lete analytical results arr al-Modified PRGs for J ttory limits are those pu or an analyte was not av s exceeding industrial re
	SAMPLE ID Regulatory													<ol> <li>Comp</li> <li>The C</li> <li>The C</li> <li>Regula</li> <li>PRG fc</li> <li>Result.</li> </ol>							

				TABLE 3. BORING RECORDS Fleming County Special Crew Facility Lot Flemingsburg, Kentucky Kytc # 370, AI #					
				Analytes					
Lee E Environ 100 Lawre (502) 859-0 jllee	Sample submitted to lab?	Sample submitted to lab? Percent (%) Recovery Soils Description							
	B1F	x	100	0-8" FILL: 8"-4' BROWN/GRAY CLAY (STAINING/ODOR @2 5-3' INTERVAL)	0-4				
			100	4-8' YELLOW BROWN TIGHT CLAY	4-8	N			
	B2F		100	0-4' DARK BROWN CLAY;	0-4				
		Х	80	4-6' OLIVE BROWN CLAY;	4-6	Y			
	B3F	X	100	0-8" FILL; 8"-4' BROWN CLAY (OBVIOUS TACK OIL AT 2-3' INTERVAL)	0-4	D.T.			
D	D4E	v	100	4-8 YELLOW BROWN HIGHL CLAY	4-8	IN N			
日 日			100	SAME AS DSF FOR 0-8	0-0	IN			
Tc	B5F	X	100	SAME AS B2 FOR 0-8'	0-8	N			
MI									
SA	B6F	X 100 0-4' BROWN GRAY CLAY							
01									
	B7F	X	100	0-4' YELLOW BROWN TIGHT CLAY	0-4	N			
	B8F	X	100	0-4' YELLOW BROWN TIGHT CLAY	0-4	N			
	2.01		100			1,			
	B9F	Х	100	SAME AS B8F FOR 0-4'	0-4	Ν			

## **APPENDIX A – PHOTOGRAPHIC JOURNAL**



Fleming County Special Crew Facility Lot, Project No. 8017

## **APPENDIX B – ANALYTICAL RESULTS**



delivering more than data from your environmental analyses

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT

This package contains <u>24</u> page(s). (including the cover page)

This report at a minimum contains the following information:

- Analytical Report of Test Results
- Description of QC Qualifiers
- Chain of Custody (copy)
- Quality Control Summary
- Case Narrative (if applicable)
- Correspondence with Client (if applicable)

For analyses that require NELAP accreditation, all analytes, by matrix and method, are accredited following current NELAP standards unless specifically noted in this document.



1230 Lange Court • Baraboo, WI 53913 • 608-356-2760 • www.ctlaboratories.com